

Life On the Edge: Supporting Students' Learning of Cell Biology

Isabelle Sperano, MacEwan University, speranoi@macewan.ca

Liam Byrne, Concordia University, liam.byrne@mail.concordia.ca

Ji Yae Bong, jiyae.bong@concordia.ca

Ross Shaw, shawr@macewan.ca

Robert Andruchow, andruchow@macewan.ca

Abstract: The video game "Life on the Edge" is an educational game that aims to instruct and engage undergraduate biology students on the intricacies of cell biology. Developed by a collaborative team comprising researchers and students from biology, design, computer science, educational technology and music at MacEwan and Concordia University. The game aims to provide an interactive and visually stimulating environment to help undergraduate biology students learn and understand cell biology concepts effectively. The game development process is also complemented by research to evaluate the game's effectiveness in enhancing students' game-based learning experiences. In this session, participants will be afforded the opportunity to engage with the game and provide feedback to the game's creators on new game features currently under development.

Introduction

Learning cell biology can be challenging for students due to the complex and abstract nature of the subject matter, which often involves understanding intricate molecular processes and the interconnected functions of various organelles within a cell. Traditional teaching methods, such as lectures and textbooks, may not fully engage students or help them visualize these processes effectively. Our team created a game called "Life on the Edge" (LOTE) (1) that addresses these challenges. This educational tower defence video game, published on the Steam platform in June 2022, offers an interactive, game-based learning environment that allows students to explore and engage with cell biology concepts in a more immersive and visually stimulating way. By incorporating strategy and resource management elements, the game encourages critical thinking and problem-solving skills, enabling students to develop a deeper understanding of cell biology concepts. In this session, the participants will have the opportunity to play the game and give feedback to the game creators on new features currently under development.

Game overview

In the game, players take on the role of a cell and must defend against various threats that seek to damage or destroy the cell. To do this, players must utilize their knowledge of cell biology to strategically place various cell organelles and structures. Each of them has unique abilities and strengths that can be utilized to defend the cell effectively. Players can explore the cell and interact with these elements, learning about their functions and how they work together to keep the cell healthy.

One of the game's key components is its emphasis on teaching players about introductory cell biology and the interconnectedness of the elements in a cell. Throughout the game, players are presented with information about the various organelles and structures and how they work together to perform essential functions within the cell. This includes learning about the cell membrane's role, the mitochondria's function, and the importance of homeostasis, which is critical to cell survival and life itself.

In addition to its educational content, LOTE incorporates strategy and resource management elements. Players must carefully consider the placement and abilities of each component in order to effectively defend the cell against incoming threats. These threats come in the form of well-known bacteria like *Gonorrhoea* and COVID-19. This requires players to think critically and use problem-solving skills to succeed.

Game development process

The game was developed over a period of four years following the Double Diamond design process (Design Council, 2015), which is divided into the Discover, Define, Develop and Deliver stages, followed by an

assessment stage. During the Discover phase, the team conducted a literature review on video game design and educational video games, they also did a precedent analysis - surveying the landscape for K-12 and university-level educational games. During the Define phase, various game concepts were explored, and the team selected one. In the Develop phase, the team created animations, illustrations, and designed the game's user interface and mechanics. Music and sound effects were also created for the game (Sperano et al., 2019; 2020). Finally, in the Deliver phase, the game was published in June 2022.

The game was assessed at various stages of its development. This evaluation was carried out by potential users, including biology students and instructors (Bong et al., 2022). The feedback obtained from these evaluations led to continuous improvements being made to the game throughout its design process, which is a widely accepted approach for creating high-quality digital products (Dormans and Holopainen, 2017; Lallemand and Gronier, 2015).

The team has been collecting data on a pilot version of LOTE since the Fall of 2021. Initial findings indicated that additional support was needed to maximize students' learning (Bong et al., 2022; 2023). Based on these findings, the team revised and improved the existing LOTE game by adding new features to support learning. The goal is then to collect more data to study the effectiveness of students' game-based learning experiences.

Audience for the game

While the game is primarily geared toward first-year undergraduate students studying biology or other health sciences, it may also be suitable for high school students or anyone with a passion for learning about biology. The interactive and visually appealing interface of LOTE, coupled with its educational content, makes it an interesting game for anyone looking to learn about cell biology in an enjoyable way. Indeed, the goal of LOTE is not only to educate players about cell biology but also to enhance their engagement and motivation through a pleasurable gaming experience, thus enhancing the overall learning process.

Game development to support research in the learning sciences

This game can support research in the learning sciences in various ways. Despite the increasing use of digital games in education, there is still a need for more information on the diverse features of games and how they impact students' satisfaction and play experience (Herder & Rau, 2022; Shute et al., 2020).

Also, the ongoing collaboration between the design, the development and the research teams offers a unique opportunity to tailor the game to test specific aspects of its design and functionality. This allows the research team to make targeted changes to the game and observe the effects on students' learning experiences rather than using a pre-existing, standardized game. This approach allows for a more detailed examination of the factors that impact students' learning experiences in the game. Additionally, the ability to customize the game allows the research team to ensure that it aligns with the specific research questions and hypotheses being studied.

The game will be used to develop a deeper understanding of students' digital game-based learning experiences. For example, there is a lack of research on the "relatedness need" in games, and most studies on game-based learning using Self-Determination Theory (SDT) only consider the relationship between need satisfaction and positive learning experiences (Peng et al., 2012; Proulx et al., 2019). To fully understand the design and learning experience of games, it is also important to consider frustration and negative game-based learning experiences. A dual-process model has been postulated in which there will be a sequence of positive relations between perceived need support, need satisfaction, and engagement, as well as between need thwart, need frustration, and disengagement in learning contexts (Jang et al., 2016; Li et al., 2018). It is hypothesized that engagement will be positively associated with learning outcomes and negatively with disengagement. However, no studies have tested this dual-process model in STEM-educational games. Newly added game features should support all three psychological needs (competence, autonomy, and relatedness) on both positive and negative learning experiences in Life on the Edge.

Additionally, our current research uses data science techniques, including analytics-based visualization tools of Unity Analytics, to overcome the limitation of self-reported data and potentially provide in-game learning support, which is another area where this game can support research in the learning sciences.

Technological setup for the interactive session

In this interactive session, the participants of the conference will have the opportunity to play the game and provide feedback to the game creators on the new features that are currently being developed. This feedback will be an important source of information, as it will allow us to better understand the perspectives of potential players, instructors and scholars in the field of education. The anonymous feedback provided by the participants will also be valuable for the research team, as it will provide insight into a player's experiences with the game and help to inform future research on game-based learning.

This session will facilitate interactive dialogue and sharing of design decisions by the team. Additionally, this session can provide practitioners with guidelines for designing digital games that promote motivation, engagement, and learning, drawing upon the presenters' experience in the design of LOTE games as well as data collected from student surveys.

Presenters will have laptops available to participants to try the game, or they will receive guidance to install the game on their own laptop (PC/MAC) if they wish.

Acknowledgments

The authors thank the biology team (Ross Shaw, Scott Lloyd), the design team (Robert Andruchow, Isabelle Sperano, Stephanie Lumayno, Chanelle Paradis, Kia Valdez Bettcher, Erika Amper, Cam Zimmel, Vik Chu, Lisa Cox, Matthew Satchwill, John Montague, Kelly Veillette, and Isabela Lopez), the computer science team (Dana Cobzas, Brian Brookwell, Sam Whiteley, Cory Efir, Max Schafer, David Cao and Kevin Ho), the educational technology team (Ji Yae Bong, Liam Byrne, and Shahrom Ali) the music team (Emilie Lebel, John McMillan, Matthew O'Connor, Fabio Henao, Kate Ashton, Shea Iles, and Colin Deneka), and game testers Haylee Hatton and Nemi Rai. The project is supported by funding from the Social Sciences and Humanities Research Council, the MacEwan Faculty of Arts and Science, the MacEwan Faculty of Fine Arts and Communications, and the MacEwan Office of Research Services.

Endnotes

(1) The game was initially developed at MacEwan University (Edmonton, Alberta) by researchers and students in Biology, Design, Computer Science and Music. New features were added with the collaboration of the researchers at Concordia University. This project is a collaborative effort with researchers in educational technology at Concordia University.

References

- Bong, J., Shaw, R., Sperano, I., Andruchow, R., & Cobzas, D. (2023, April 13–16). *Learning supportive game features and flow experience: Applying Self-Determination theory and Flow theory*. [Paper Presentation Accepted]. American Educational Research Association (AERA) 2023 Conference. Chicago, Illinois.
- Bong, J., Shaw, R., Sperano, I., Andruchow, R., & Cobzas, D. (2022, October 24–28). "Designing a digital educational game to support and measure higher education students' learning of cellular and human biology." [Paper Presentation]. Association for Educational Communications and Technology (AECT) 2022 Conference. Las Vegas, NV.
- Lallemand, C., & Gronier, G. (2015). *Méthodes de Design UX: 30 méthodes fondamentales pour concevoir et évaluer Les systèmes interactifs*. Eyrolles.
- Design Council. 2019. "The Double Diamond: A universally accepted depiction of the design process." Accessed April 10, 2020. <https://www.designcouncil.org.uk/our-work/news-opinion/double-diamond-universally-accepted-depiction-design-process>



- Dormans, J., & Holopainen, J. (2017). Investigating Game Design Methods and Models. In P. Lankoski & J. Holopainen (Eds.), *Game Design Research: An introduction to theory & practice* (pp. 75-95). essay, ETC Press. Herder, T., & Rau, M. A. (2022). Representational-competency supports in the context of an educational video game for undergraduate astronomy. *Computers & Education, 190*, 104602. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compedu.2022.104602>
- Jang, H., Kim, E. J., & Reeve, J. (2016). Why students become more engaged or more disengaged during the semester: A self-determination theory dual-process model. *Learning and Instruction, 43*, 27-38. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.learninstruc.2016.01.002>
- Ke, F. (2009). A qualitative meta-analysis of computer games as learning tools. In R. E. Ferdig (Ed.), *Handbook of research on effective electronic gaming in education* (pp. 1–32). Hershey: Information Science. <http://dx.doi.org/10.4018/978-1-59904-808-6.ch001>
- Li, J., Deng, M., Wang, X., & Tang, Y. (2018). Teachers' and parents' autonomy support and psychological control perceived in junior-high school: Extending the dual-process model of self-determination theory. *Learning and Individual Differences, 68*, 20–29. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.lindif.2018.09.005>
- Life on the Edge*. *Life on the Edge - Game for BIOL107 students*. (2021). Retrieved January 13, 2023, from <https://lifeontheedgegame.com/>
- Life on the edge on Steam*. *Life on the Edge on Steam*. (2022). Retrieved January 13, 2023, from https://store.steampowered.com/app/1968040/Life_on_the_Edge/#:~:text=Life%20on%20the%20Edge%20on%20Steam&text=Life%20on%20the%20Edge%20is,biology%20at%20the%20same%20time
- Mayo, M. J. (2009). Video games: A route to large-scale STEM education? *Science, 323*, 79–82, <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1166900>
- Peng, W., Lin, J., Pfeiffer, K. A., & Winn, B. (2012). Need satisfaction supportive game features as motivational determinants: An experimental study of a self-determination theory guided exergame. *Media Psychology, 15*, 175–196. <https://doi.org/10.1080/15213269.2012.673850>
- Proulx, J. N., Romero, M., & Arnab, S. (2017). Learning mechanics and game mechanics under the perspective of self-determination theory to foster motivation in digital game based learning. *Simulation & Gaming, 48*(1), 81–97. <https://doi.org/10.1177%2F1046878116674399>
- Shaw, R., Sperano, I., Andruchow, R., Cobzas, D. (2022). User Testing for Serious Game Design: Improving the player experience. *SAGE Research Methods Cases—Business & Management*. <dx.doi.org/10.4135/9781529605402>.
- Shute V, Rahimi S, Smith G, et al. (2020). Maximizing learning without sacrificing the fun: Stealth assessment, adaptivity and learning supports in educational games. *Journal of Computer Assisted Learning, 1–15*. <https://doi.org/10.1111/jcal.12473>
- Sperano, I., Andruchow, R., & Shaw, R. (2019). Interaction Design and Educational Video Game: Motivating Undergraduate Students to Explore New Territories. *Interaction Design Education Summit*. Presented at the Interaction Design Education Summit, Seattle, USA. <https://medium.com/ixda/interaction-design-and-educational-videogames-motivatingundergraduate-students-to-explore-new-ac82dca444db>
- Sperano, I., Shaw, R., Andruchow, R., Cobzas, D., Efirid, C., Brookwell, B., & Deng, W. (2020). Creative, Interdisciplinary Undergraduate Research: An Educational Cell Biology Video Game Designed by Students for Students. *Scholarship and Practice of Undergraduate Research, 4*(2), 5– 14. <https://doi.org/10.18833/spur/4/2/7>